Dioxin Fingerprinting in the HSC

Philip Turner, EPA R6 Linda Broach, TCEQ April 2011

Objectives

- Compare dioxin fingerprints from several areas of the HSC to the SJRWP superfund site:
 - Patrick Bayou superfund site
 - HSC from Sims Bayou to Tucker Bayou
 - Burnett Bay
 - Scott Bay
 - San Jacinto Bay
 - Galveston Bay along the HSC

Areas of Interest for this project:



Houston Ship Channel and Galveston Bay


Brazoria, Chambers, Galveston, and Harris Counties ADV-20 Issued October 9, 2001 ADV-35 Issued July 8, 2008 Jefferson Chambers Trinity Bay Galveston Bay Galveston East Bay Fort Bend Galveston Advisory Areas: Galvestor Houston Ship Channel The Houston Ship Channel upstream of the Lynchburg Ferry crossing and all contiguous waters, including the San Jacinto River below the U.S. Highway 90 bridge. Galveston Bay
Galveston Bay including Chocolate Bay, East Bay, Trinity Bay, and West Bay and contiguous waters Contaminants of Concern: Species Affected: Brazoria West Bay Dioxin, organochlorine pesticides, and PCBs All species of fish Dioxin and PCBs All catfish species and spotted seatrout Consumption Advice: Persons should limit consumption of all species of fish from this area to no more than one eight-ounce meal per month.


Women who are nursing, pregnant, or who may become pregnant and children under 12 should not consume any species of fish from these waters. Persons should limit consumption of catfish and spotted seatrout from this area to no more than one eight-ounce meal Persons should limit consumption or cattish and spotted seatrout from this area to no more than one eight-ounce meal per month. Women who are nursing, pregnant, or who may become pregnant and children under 12 should not consume


catfish or spotted seatrout.


Methods

- Used Surface Sediment data from SJRWP site geodatabase, Patrick Bayou site database, and EPA Marine and Coastal Studies report
- Used 2 upstream stations in the SJR as background 11200 and 16622
- Plotted highest 12 samples from inside SJRWP to describe pit "fingerprint"
- All values given are station averages, if more than one sample was available for a site
- TEQs computed using 2008 WHO TEFs with ND=0
- Fingerprint is computed by dividing each congener by the total for all 2,3,7,8 dioxin and furan congeners except OCDD, which was omitted for clarity











TOXIC EQUIVALENCY FACTORS (TEFs)

	TEF
2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD)	1
1,2,3,7,8-Pentachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (PeCDD)	1
1,2,3,4,7,8-Hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (HxCDD)	0.1
1,2,3,6,7,8-Hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (HxCDD)	0.1
1,2,3,7,8,9-Hexachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (HxCDD)	0.1
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Heptachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (HpCDD)	0.01
1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-Octachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (OCDD)	0.0003
2,3,7,8-Tetrachlorodibenzofuran (TCDF)	0.1
1,2,3,7,8-Pentachlorodibenzofuran (PeCDF)	0.03
2,3,4,7,8-Pentachlorodibenzofuran (PeCDF)	0.3
1,2,3,4,7,8-Hexachlorodibenzofuran (HxCDF)	0.1
1,2,3,6,7,8-Hexachlorodibenzofuran (HxCDF)	0.1
1,2,3,7,8,9-Hexachlorodibenzofuran (HxCDF)	0.1
2,3,4,6,7,8-Hexachlorodibenzofuran (HxCDF)	0.1
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-Heptachlorodibenzofuran (HpCDF)	0.01
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-Heptachlorodibenzofuran (HpCDF)	0.01
1,2,3,4,6,7,8,9-Octachlorodibenzofuran (OCDF)	0.0003

Upstream SJR samples without OCDD




Upstream SJR samples with OCDD






SJRWP Congener Fingerprint




TEQ range = 10,080 to 32,400 ppt

Observations - SJRWP

- SJRW Pits are not homogenous
- Clear evidence of deposition from other sources and "clean" mud
- Congener fingerprint is dominated by
 - 2378 Tetra-furan (TCDF),
 - 2378 Tetra-dioxin (TCDD),
 - smaller amounts of other furans




Upstream SJR samples




Observations - Upstream SJR

- Upstream (background) fingerprint dominated by
 - 1234678 HpCDD,
 - OCDF,
 - 1234678 HpCDF,
 - 1234789 HpCDF

Upstream SJR samples




Congener Fingerprint for Samples near the SJRWP



TEQ range for these samples = 2.4 to 93 ppt


Observations - Near Pit samples


- Near-pit fingerprints are similar to pits,
 - but with lots more HpCDDs and OCDFs
 - and less PeCDFs and HxCDFs
- You can see the decline in %TCDF and %TCDD with the increase in %HpCDD




Patrick Bayou

- 60 stations
- TEQ range: 0.2 to 2224 ppt
 - Average TEQ = 133 ppt (Median = 73 ppt)
- High OCDF in lower reach
- Middle and upper reaches have various fingerprints






Middle Patrick Bayou




Upper Patrick Bayou



12 sites, average TEQ = 26 ppt, max TEQ = 84 ppt at PB069


Patrick Bayou Highest TEQ reach



Observations – Patrick Bayou

- Patrick Bayou downstream fingerprint dominated by OCDF
- Upstream fingerprint includes HpCDD and one of the HpCDFs, in addition to the OCDF
- Cleaner signal downstream, and as move upstream (away from HSC) more congeners appear
- In HSC, strong decrease in OCDFs away from Patrick Bayou

HSC – areas of noted elevated concentrations





#2 = Buffalo Bayou of HSC; #3 = Scot Bay; #4 = Burnett Bay; #5 = San Jacinto Bay

HSC Tributaries and Side Bays




HSC Tributaries and Side Bays






HSC - Patrick Bayou to Tucker Bayou




HSC - Greens Bayou to Patrick Bayou



11270 TEQ = 74 ppt, 15979 TEQ = 71 ppt, Others < 44 ppt



HSC - Sims Bayou to Greens Bayou



11287 TEQ = 14 ppt, 11300 TEQ = 84 ppt 11280 TEQ = 194 ppt, others < 63 ppt

Observations – HSC/Buffalo Bayou


- Patrick Bayou to Tucker Bayou: fingerprint dominated by OCDF
 - some HpCDDs, TCDFs, and HpCDFs
- Greens Bayou to Patrick Bayou: fingerprint dominated by OCDF
 - Some HpCDDs, more TCDFs, and HpCDFs
- Sims Bayou to Greens Bayou: fingerprint dominated by OCDF
 - some HpCDDs, TCDFs, and HpCDFs
 - Small amounts of numerous other congeners

HSC Side Bays

- All have similar fingerprints and TEQ levels
- Burnett Bay
 - -16496, TEQ = 34 ppt
 - -13344, TEQ = 29 ppt
- Scott Bay
 - -13342, TEQ = 29 ppt
 - -16618, TEQ = 24 ppt
- San Jacinto Bay
 - -16499, TEQ = 21.6 ppt



HSC Side Bay Congener Fingerprint



Observations – HSC Side Bays


- All three side bays have very similar fingerprints
 - All three are dominated by OCDF; followed by HpCDDs, TCDFs, HpCDFs and TCDDs.
 - Each also has small amounts of other congeners
 - It is worth noting that this fingerprint appears similar to upstream HSC (OCDF, HpCDDs, HpCDFs) and San Jacinto (TCDDs & TCDFs) though the upstream HSC signal is more prominent

Galveston Bay Samples

- TEQs range from6.4 ppt to less than1 ppt
- Fingerprint similar to Upstream SJR background fingerprint
- TEQs on the picture (right) were calculated using WHO 2005 TEFs




Galveston Bay Samples



Obserevations – HSC/Galveston Bay

- Fingerprint for all stations dominated by HpCDDs; followed by OCDF, HpCDDs and HpCDFs
 - Small amounts of most other congeners
- HSC1 exhibited highest TEQ
- Decreasing TEQ with distance downstream
- Looks much like the upstream SJR samples once you get into Galveston Bay

Upstream SJR Congener Fingerprint



TEQ range = 0.4 to 3.1 ppt